

Rec'd

270

27 MAY 2003



10/536818



PCT / IB 0 3 / 0 5 0 9 5 INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

11 NOV 2003

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ

REC'D 17 NOV 2003

WIPB PBT

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.


Signed

Dated 18 August 2003

**PRIORITY  
DOCUMENT**

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**



1/77

**Request for grant of a patent**

See notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

The Patent Office  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
Gwent NP10 8QQ

Your reference

PHNL021245

Patent application number  
(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0227793.7

29NOV02 E767157-1 002879  
P01/7700 0.00-0227793.7

29 NOV 2002

Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.  
GROENEWOUDSEWEG 1  
5621 BA EINDHOVEN  
THE NETHERLANDS

Patents ADP Number (if you know it)

07419294001 /

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

THE NETHERLANDS

Title of the invention

MULTIPLIER WITH LOOK UP TABLES

Name of your agent (if you have one)  
"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

Philips Intellectual Property and Standards  
Cross Oak Lane  
Redhill  
Surrey RH1 5HA  
08359655001

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority Application number  
(if you know it)

Date of filing  
(day/month/year)

If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing  
(day/month/year)

Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer "Yes" if:

YES

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
  - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
  - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

Patents Form 1/77

Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.  
Do not count copies of the same document.

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	12
Claims(s)	5
Abstract	1
Drawings	4

only 2M

0. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item:

Priority Documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*)

Request for preliminary examination and search (*Patents Form 9/77*)

Request for substantive examination (*Patents Form 10/77*)

Any other documents  
(Please specify)

1.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Richard Turner

Date

28.11.02

2. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

01293 815492

(R. Turner)

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- 1) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- 2) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- 3) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- 4) If you have answered "Yes" Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- 5) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- 6) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

## DESCRIPTION

## MULTIPLIER WITH LOOK UP TABLES

5       The present invention relates to methods and apparatus for efficiently implementing long integer modular multiplications.

10       The increasing use of cryptographic algorithms in electronic devices has established a need to quickly and efficiently execute long integer modular multiplications. For example, smart cards and many other electronic devices use a number of cryptographic protocols such as the RSA, and others based on elliptic curve and hyper elliptic calculations. All of these protocols have, as a basic requirement, the ability to perform long integer modular multiplications of the form  $R = X.Y \bmod N$ .

15       Typically, with protocols such as RSA, the long integers  $X$  and  $Y$  are 1024-bit, or even 2048-bit integers, and the multiplication operations must be carried out many hundreds or thousands of times to complete an encryption or decryption operation.... It is therefore desirable that the cryptographic devices that perform these operations execute the long integer  
20       multiplications quickly, using a high speed multiplier.

25       Conventionally, electronic devices designed to execute such multiplications quickly consume a lot of power, which is undesirable in devices intended to have low power consumption, such as smart cards and other portable electronic devices.

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a method for enabling long integer modular multiplication in an apparatus in a manner which reduces power consumption.

30       According to one aspect, the present invention provides a method of performing modular multiplication of integers  $X$  and  $Y$  to produce a result  $R$ , where  $R = X.Y \bmod N$ , in a multiplication engine, comprising the steps of:

- (a) fragmenting  $X$  into a first plurality of words  $x_n$  each having a first predetermined number of bits,  $k$ ;
- (b) fragmenting  $Y$  into a second plurality of words  $y_n$  each having a second predetermined number of bits,  $m$ ;
- 5 (c) pre-calculating multiples of a word  $x_n$  of  $X$  in a pre-calculation circuit and using said pre-calculated multiples to derive products of the word  $x_n$  of  $X$  with each of the plurality of words  $y_n$  of  $Y$ ;
- (d) computing an intermediate result  $R_j$  as a cumulating sum derived from said pre-calculated multiples;
- 10 (e) for each successive word of  $X$ , repeating the steps of pre-calculating and computing so as to generate successive intermediate results,  $R_j$ , for each of the first plurality of words  $x_n$ ; and
- (f) providing as output each of the intermediate results  $R_j$  so as to form a final result.

15 According to another aspect, the present invention provides an apparatus for performing modular multiplication of integers  $X$  and  $Y$  to produce a result  $R$ , where  $R = X.Y \bmod N$ , comprising:

means for fragmenting  $X$  into a first plurality of words  $x_n$  each having a first predetermined number of bits,  $k$ ;

20 means for fragmenting  $Y$  into a second plurality of words  $y_n$  each having a second predetermined number of bits,  $m$ ;

a pre-calculation circuit for pre-calculating multiples of a word  $x_n$  of  $X$  and using said pre-calculated multiples to derive products of the word  $x_n$  of  $X$  with each of the plurality of words  $y_n$  of  $Y$ ;

25 means for computing an intermediate result  $R_j$  as a cumulating sum derived from said pre-calculated multiples; and

control means for controlling repetition of the pre-calculations and computing of an intermediate result for each successive word of  $X$  so as to generate successive intermediate results,  $R_j$ , for each of the first plurality of words  $x_n$ .

30

According to another aspect, the present invention provides a calculation circuit for providing each of a plurality of multiples of an integer x, to form products x.y, comprising:

- adder and shift circuits for deriving a plurality of basic multiples of x;
- 5 a plurality of registers for storing at least some of said plurality of basic multiples of x;
- a plurality of multiplexers each receiving said basic multiples of x, each multiplexer having selection lines for receiving selected bits of a selected y word; and
- 10 a summation circuit for receiving the outputs from each multiplexer and combining them according to the numeric significance of the portion of the y word used as input to the respective multiplexer selection line.

Embodiments of the invention will now be described, by way of example, and with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

Figure 1 shows a pre-calculation circuit for a multiplier that generates x.y products from selected basic multiples of x,

Figure 2 shows a timing diagram for operation of the circuit of figure 1,

20 Figure 3 illustrates an alternative multiplexer / adder circuit design to replace that shown in figure 1, and

Figure 4 shows schematically a preferred configuration of an adder.

To calculate the product  $X:Y \bmod N$  where X and Y are long-integer variables, eg. of the order of 1024 or 2048 bit length, the long-integer variables X and Y are split into smaller "words" of, for example 32 bits in length. In a preferred embodiment described later, X is split into words of 64 bits in length, and Y is split into words of 16 bits in length.

First, X is split up into n words, generally each of length k, such that:

$$30 \quad X = x_{n-1}B_x^{n-1} + x_{n-2}B_x^{n-2} + \dots + x_0$$

where  $B_x = 2^k$ . In one example,  $k = 32$ , and in another example  $k = 64$ .

In this manner, X is fragmented into a plurality of words each of length k bits. Then, the result R can be calculated as follows:

$$R = (((\underbrace{(x_{n-1}Y \bmod N)B_x + x_{n-2}Y}_{R_0} \bmod N)B_x + \dots x_0Y) \bmod N$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{R_1}$   
 $\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{R_{n-1}}$

Thus,  $R_j = (x_{n-j+1}Y + R_{j-1}B_x) \bmod N$

5 First, we multiply  $x_{n-1}$  by the complete Y and calculate the modulus of it. The result is  $R_0$ . Next, we multiply  $x_{n-2}$  by the complete Y, add  $Z_0 = R_0.B_x$  to the result and calculate the modulo N reduction. The result is  $R_1$ . Next, we multiply  $x_{n-3}$  by the complete Y, add  $Z_1 = R_1.B_x$  to the result and calculate the modulo N reduction. The result is  $R_2$ . This procedure is repeated until we  
 10 have used all words of X,  $x_0$  being the last word of X to be processed, to obtain the final result  $R = R_{n-1}$ . However, a multiplier for Y being 1024-bits long is undesirable from a practical viewpoint. Therefore, we also break down Y, and thus  $R_j$ , into smaller "words" of, for example, 32 bits or 16 bits in length. Therefore, the basic multiplication  $R_j = (x_{n-j+1}Y + Z_{j-1}) \bmod N$ , with  $Z_{j-1}$   
 15  $= R_{j-1}B_x$ , is also fragmented.

We split Y and  $R_j$  into words of m bits in length, ie.  $B_y = 2^m$ :

$$Y = y_{n-1}B_y^{n-1} + y_{n-2}B_y^{n-2} + \dots + y_0$$

$$R_j = r_{j,n-1}B_y^{n-1} + r_{j,n-2}B_y^{n-2} + \dots + r_{j,0}$$

20 In this manner, Y is fragmented into a plurality of words each of length m bits. Thus:

$$R_j = \underbrace{x_{n-j+1}y_0}_{B_{y,0}+r_{j,0}} + \underbrace{(x_{n-j+1}y_1 + r_{j-1,0})B_y}_{B_{y,1}+r_{j,1}} + \underbrace{(x_{n-j+1}y_2 + r_{j-1,1})B_y^2}_{B_{y,2}+r_{j,2}} + \dots + \underbrace{(x_{n-j+1}y_{n-1} + r_{j-1,n-2})B_y^{n-1} + r_{j-1,n-1}B_y^n}_{B_{y,n-1}+r_{j,n-1}}$$

For the calculation of  $R_j$ , we perform the following operations:

First, we multiply  $x_{n-j+1}$  by  $y_0$  and split the result into 2 parts: the lower part  $r_{j,0}$  (m-bits) and the higher part  $c_{j,0}$  (k-bits):

$$B_y \cdot c_{j,0} + r_{j,0} = x_{n-j+1} \cdot y_0$$

Next, we multiply  $x_{n-j+1}$  by  $y_1$  and add the previous carry word  $c_{j,0}$ .  
 5 Moreover, we add  $z_0 = r_{j-1,0}$  too. The result is again split into 2 parts, respectively of k and m bits in length: the lower part  $r_{j,1}$  and the higher part  $c_{j,1}$ :

$$B_y \cdot c_{j,1} + r_{j,1} = x_{n-j+1} \cdot y_1 + c_{j,0} + z_0$$

Next, we multiply  $x_{n-j+1}$  by  $y_2$  and add the previous carry word  $c_{j,1}$ .  
 10 Moreover, we add  $z_1 = r_{j-1,1}$  too. The result is again split into 2 parts, respectively of k- and m-bits in length: the lower part  $r_{j,2}$  and the higher part  $c_{j,2}$ :

$$B_y \cdot c_{j,2} + r_{j,2} = x_{n-j+1} \cdot y_2 + c_{j,1} + z_1$$

This procedure is repeated until we perform the last multiplication, by  
 15  $y_{n-1}$ , ie. we multiply  $x_{n-j+1}$  by  $y_{n-1}$  and add the previous carry word  $c_{j,n-2}$ . Moreover, we add  $z_{n-2} = r_{j-1,n-2}$  too. The result is again split into 2 parts, respectively of k- and m-bits in length: the lower part  $r_{j,n-1}$  and the higher part  $c_{j,n-1}$ :

$$B_y \cdot c_{j,n-1} + r_{j,n-1} = x_{n-j+1} \cdot y_{n-1} + c_{j,n-2} + z_{n-2}$$

20 The last step is the addition of  $c_{j,n-1}$  and  $z_{n-1}$ :  $r_{j,n-1} = c_{j,n-1} + z_{n-1}$ .

It will be seen that, in a general aspect, we calculate the products of a first word of X with each of the plurality of words of Y, and compute an intermediate result  $R_j$  as a cumulating sum derived from each stored product.

25 In a further general sense, the calculation is implemented by

- (i) obtaining a product,  $x \cdot y$ ,
- (ii) adding a carry word  $c_j$  from a previous term (which is, of course, zero when calculating the first term);
- (iii) adding a corresponding term,  $z$ , from a previous intermediate result  
 30 (which is, of course, also zero when calculating at least the first  $R_j$ );
- (iv) fragmenting the result into a lower order m-bit word and a higher order, k-bit carry word;



- (v) repeating steps (i) to (iv) for each of the stored products; and
- (vi) after consumption of all stored products, forming a final term by adding the final carry word and corresponding term from the previous intermediate result.

5 Now  $R_j$  is complete and is larger than the Y variable from which it was derived by the length of one word of X. The size of  $R_j$  is preferably reduced by one word in a modulo N reduction, and the reduced result is then used as  $z_j$  during the calculation of the subsequent  $R_{j+1}$ .

10 The above calculation described the general procedure where the length of the X words ( $x_n$ ) is the same as the length of the y words ( $y_n$ ), ie.  $B_x = B_y$ .

The X words may be different in length than the Y words. For example, if  $k/m > 1$ ,  $k = 64$  and  $m = 16$ , then  $B_x = B_y^4$ , then:

1. The addition of  $z$  has to be shifted by  $k/m (= 4, \text{ in the example})$  words instead of 1 word. In other words, during the first  $k/m (= 4)$  multiplications,  $z = 0$ . Thus, in step (iii) discussed above, in the adding of a corresponding term,  $z$ , from a previous intermediate result  $R_{j-1}$ , the 'corresponding term' is the fourth less significant word from the previous intermediate result  $R_{j-1}$  instead of the immediate less significant word from the previous intermediate result.

2. The carry word  $c_{j,i}$  used in step (ii) is  $k/m (= 4)$  times larger ( $4m$  bits in length) than the result  $r_{j,i}$  ( $m$  bits in length).

3. The last step (vi) above consists of  $k/m = 4$  additions of the carry word and the  $z$  word (the corresponding term from the previous intermediate result). In every addition, the carry word is reduced by  $m$ -bits.

Thus, in the basic operation, omitting all indices:

$$B.c + r = x.y + c + z$$

During, the first operation,  $c = 0$

During the first  $k/m$  operations,  $z = 0$ .

30 During the last  $k/m$ -operations,  $y = 0$ .

$x$  is kept constant during the complete series of operations for each calculation of  $R_j$ . The fact that the value of the  $x$  word remains constant

throughout the iterative computations for each intermediate result  $R_j$  can be used to substantially reduce the processing power needed to execute the long word multiplications of  $X$  and  $Y \bmod N$ .

5 In performing a conventional 32 bit by 32 bit multiplication, it is necessary to add 32 products, the carry and the  $Z$  term. Therefore, there is an addition of 34 terms, each 32 bits in length. Such an addition, when performed at high speed, requires a lot of power.

10 It will be noted, in the foregoing algorithm, that for each intermediate term  $R_j$ , one of the multiplicands (namely  $x$ ) remains constant throughout the entire series.

Therefore, before commencing the calculations for  $R_j$ , a number of multiples of  $x_{n-j+1}$  are pre-calculated and stored or latched. Returning to the example above, for a long word  $X$  fragmented into 64 bit words ( $x$ ), and for  $Y$  split into 16 bit words ( $y$ ), this may be effected using a pre-calculation circuit according to a number of different designs.

15 In a first example, the pre-calculation circuit 10 may comprise a look-up table 11 which is pre-loaded with each possible multiple of  $x$  stored at a respective row (or column) address. The look-up table could be populated using an appropriate adder circuit. The look-up table would then be accessed for each  $x.y$  multiple by using the appropriate read row (or column) address.

However, such an arrangement would not be efficient in populating the look-up table with all the possible  $x.y$  products (there would be 65536 for all products where the  $y$  words are 16 bit).

25 A preferred technique is to use a pre-calculation circuit that calculates and stores selected basic multiples of  $x$ , and determines all other values of  $x$  'on the fly' by appropriate shifting and/or adding operations from the selected basic multiples of  $x$ .

30 An example of such a pre-calculation circuit is shown in figure 1, suitable for pre-calculating all multiples of 64 bit  $x$  words with 16 bit  $y$  words. Pre-calculation circuit 10 includes:

six registers 21...26 (collectively designated as registers 20) for storing pre-selected basic multiples of  $x$ ;

two adders 31, 32 (collectively designated as input adders 30) for deriving pre-determined basic multiples of  $x$ ;

5 three multiplexers 41, 42, 43 (collectively designated as input multiplexers 40) for providing inputs to the input adders 30, 31 during the calculation of the pre-selected basic multiples of  $x$ ;

seven bit shifters 51...57 (collectively designated as bit shifters 50) for aligning the pre-selected basic multiples of  $x$ ;

10 four output multiplexers 61...64 (collectively designated as multiplexers 60) for selecting appropriate basic multiples of  $x$  as outputs; and

an output adder 70 for combining the output multiples of  $x$  to obtain the desired  $x.y$  product.

Each of registers 20 stores a pre-selected basic multiple of  $x$ .  
15 Register 21 stores the value  $1x$ . Register 23 stores the value  $3x$ , which is provided by input adder 31 combining  $1x$  and  $2x$  via input multiplexers 41 and 42. Register 22 stores the value of  $5x$ , which is provided by adder 31 combining  $3x$  and  $2x$  via input multiplexers 41 and 42. The multiple  $7x$  is provided directly from the input adder 31 by a combination of  $4x$  and  $3x$  from  
20 input multiplexers 41 and 42 at all other times, to save one register. Register 24 stores the value of  $9x$ , which is provided by input adder 32 combining  $8x + 1x$  via input multiplexer 43. Register 25 stores the value of  $11x$ , which is provided by input adder 32 by a combination of  $8x$  and  $3x$  from input multiplexer 43. Register 26 stores the value of  $13x$ , which is provided  
25 by input adder 32 combining  $8x$  and  $5x$  via input multiplexer 43. The multiple  $15x$  is provided directly from the input adder 32 by a combination of  $7x$  (from adder 31) and  $8x$  at all other times, to save another register.

The even values need not be stored in any register because they can be obtained from simple left shifting of an appropriate basic multiple register  
30 20 using an appropriate one of the bit shifting functions 50. Specifically,  $2x$  is obtained by a 1-bit left shift of the content of the  $1x$  register 21;  $4x$  is obtained by a 2-bit left shift of the content of the  $1x$  register 21;  $6x$  is

obtained by a 1-bit left shift of the 3x register 23; 8x is obtained by a 3-bit left shift of the content of 1x register 21; 10x is obtained by a 1-bit left shift of the content of the 5x register 22; 12x is obtained by a 2-bit left shift of the 3x register 23; and 14x is obtained by a 1-bit left shift of the output of adder 31.

5 These bit shifting functions can be implemented by appropriate wiring of the registers 20 to the inputs of the multiplexers 60.

Thus, overall, the number of registers (or memory spaces) for the basic multiples has been reduced from 16 to just six. In a preferred arrangement, the x register 21, nx register 22 and mx register 26 are  
10 implemented as flip-flops, while the other registers 23, 24, 25 are latches.

These sixteen basic multiples of x are selected for output by the four output multiplexers 60, each multiplexer receiving as selection input 66 four respective bits of the 16-bit y value. As shown, output multiplexer 61 receives the four least significant bits (3:0) of the y word; output multiplexer  
15 62 receives the next four significant bits (7:4) of the y word; output multiplexer 63 receives the next four significant bits (11:8) of the y word; and output multiplexer 64 receives the four most significant bits (15:12) of the y word. The respective outputs  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$  (collectively designated as  
20 outputs 67) of the output multiplexers 60 are each shifted relative to one another in order to provide the correct relative significance of output. Specifically, multiplexer 61 supplies  $P_0$  as bits (67:0) of the x.y product; multiplexer 62 supplies  $P_1$  as bits (71:4) of the x.y product; multiplexer 63 supplies  $P_2$  as bits (75:8) of the x.y product; and multiplexer 64 supplies  $P_3$  as bits (79:12) of the x.y product. Of course, each of these outputs must be  
25 combined by adder 70 in order to obtain the correct value for x.y.

The combination of the outputs  $P_0...P_3$  are preferably added together in adder 70 at the same time as the value z (the corresponding term from a previous intermediate result) and the carry word c from a previous term, to  
30 get the result r and the new carry c.

The pre-calculation circuit or look-up table has to provide the multiples of x ranging from 0, x ... 15x. With reference to figure 2, it will be

seen that the pre-calculation circuit of figure 1 can generate all the basic multiples of  $x$  within 4 clock cycles.

At the start of the first cycle, the new  $x$  value is clocked into the  $1x$  register. During the first cycle, the  $nx$  adder 31 is connected to  $x$  and  $2x$  and the  $mx$  adder 32 is connected to  $x$  and  $8x$ . At the start of the 2nd cycle, the  
5 result  $3x = x + 2x$  is stored in the  $nx$  register 22 and the result  $9x = x + 8x$  is stored in  $mx$  register.

At the transition to the second cycle,  $3x$  is transferred from the  $nx$  register 22 to the  $3x$  register 23 and  $9x$  is transferred from the  $mx$  register 26  
10 to the  $9x$  register 24. During cycle 2, the  $nx$  adder 31 is connected to  $x$  and  $4x$  and the  $mx$  adder 32 is connected to the  $nx$  register 22 and to  $8x$ , so the adders 31, 32 respectively calculate  $5x = x + 4x$  and  $11x = 3x + 8x$ .

At the transition to the third cycle, the result  $5x$  is stored in the  $nx$  register 22 and  $11x$  is stored in the  $mx$  register 26. During the first part of  
15 the third cycle,  $9x$  is transferred from the  $mx$  register 26 to the  $9x$  register 24. During the third cycle, the  $nx$  adder 31 is connected to  $3x$  and  $4x$  and the  $mx$  adder 32 is connected to  $nx$  and  $8x$ , so the adders 31, 32 respectively calculate  $7x = 3x + 4x$  and  $13x = 5x + 8x$ .

During the fourth cycle, the  $mx$  adder 32 is connected to the output of  
20 the  $nx$  adder 31 and to  $8x$ , so that the  $mx$  adder 32 calculates  $15x = 7x + 8x$ . The output of the adders ( $7x$  and  $15x$ ) are not stored but used as direct input for the output multiplexers 60.

Preferably, for optimum efficiency, the four clock cycles used to  
25 generate the sixteen multiples of  $x$  are taken during the final part of the computation of the intermediate result  $R_j$  when it will be noted that the final term in the cumulating sum calculation does not require the use of an  $x.y$  product, adding only  $z$  and  $c$  terms (because  $x = 0$  for that final term). During this period, the outputs  $P_0 \dots P_3$  of the multiplexer 60 must be driven to zero, eg. by selection of the '0' input in each case.

30 From the foregoing description, it will become clear that it is also possible to vary the configuration of the pre-calculation circuit is a number of ways. For example, the number of multiplexers could be increased to eight,

each receiving a 2-bit input of the y word. Similarly, using 3-bit inputs of the y word would require five multiplexers and one normal and-product.

5 In a general sense, the pre-calculation circuit uses a plurality of multiplexers each of which receives the basic multiples of x and each of which has selection lines for receiving selected bits of a y word and a summation circuit for receiving the outputs from each multiplexer and combining them according to the numeric significance of the portion of the y word used as input to the respective multiplexer selection line.

10 With reference to figure 3, an alternative form of output multiplexer 160 is illustrated. A potential disadvantage to the type of output multiplexers 60 used in the embodiment of figure 1 is that the multiplexers will be switched even when the outputs are not selected – only one output of each multiplexer 61...64 will ever be required at one time, and in many cases no output will be required of a particular multiplexer. For example, when  
15 switching from 11x to 12x, only the output of multiplexer 61 will change. Multiplexers 62, 63 and 64 will still require zero output. The switching of each multiplexer results in unnecessary power dissipation. Therefore, an alternative arrangement of multiplexer 160 is as shown in figure 3.

Each of the basic multiples  $x \dots 15x$  is provided as input and selected  
20 by a respective selection line  $S_1 \dots S_{15}$ . The requisite basic multiples of x are selected (and partially summed when required) by logic gates 161 ... 167. The outputs from logic gates 161 ... 167 are also summed by adders 171 and 181 to produce a final output  $x.y$  at output 190. In this arrangement, only the affected logic gates 161 ... 167 are switched when the selection  
25 input changes. For example, when the x multiple required changes from 1x to 5x, only logic gates 161 and 163 will be switched. All other inputs and outputs of the gates 162 and 164 ... 167 will not be switched, resulting in a reduced power consumption. Only the minimum number of logic gates are switched for any given change in control input.

30 Therefore, in a general sense, it will be recognised that the plurality of output multiplexers comprises a set of logic gates 161 ... 167 each having a first input  $x_i$  connected to receive a respective basic multiple of x, and a

selection line  $S_i$  to enable assertion of the basic multiple at an output of the logic gate. The summation circuit comprises a set of adders (partly in each logic circuit 161 ... 167 and in circuits 171, 181) for receiving all asserted outputs of the series of logic gates, and combining them according to the numeric significance of the portion of  $y$  word used as input to the multiplexer selection line.

A preferred configuration of adder 70, which adds the four products  $P_0...P_3$ , the  $z$ -term and the carry term  $c$ , is of a carry-save-adder type, as shown schematically in figure 4.

Only the least significant 16 bits ( $R(15:0)$ ) are added by a full adder. This means that we have to add two feedback terms  $Cc'$  and  $Cs'$  (the carry-term is the sum of both). These terms are added after a shift over 16 bits.

In fact,  $Cc'(78:0)$  and  $Cs'(78:0)$  are stored in flip-flops. In the next clock cycle, the higher parts ( $Cc'(78:16)$  and  $Cs'(79:16)$ ) are input to the adder as  $Cc(62:0)$  and  $Cs(62:0)$ , as shown by the arrows.  $Cc'(15:0)$  and  $Cs'(15:0)$ , together with the previous carry-bit  $c_i = R(16)$ , are added by a full adder, with  $R(16:0)$  as result.

$R(15:0)$  is stored successively in a suitable memory, eg. a RAM.  $R(16)$  is added in the next clock cycle as  $c_i$ .

$Z(15:0)$  from intermediate results of  $R_j$  are stored successively in a suitable memory, eg. a RAM, and are read for each relevant operation from the memory.

## CLAIMS

1. A method of performing modular multiplication of integers X and Y to produce a result R, where  $R = X.Y \bmod N$ , in a multiplication engine, comprising the steps of:

- (a) fragmenting X into a first plurality of words  $x_n$  each having a first predetermined number of bits, k;
- (b) fragmenting Y into a second plurality of words  $y_n$  each having a second predetermined number of bits, m;
- (c) pre-calculating multiples of a word  $x_n$  of X in a pre-calculation circuit and using said pre-calculated multiples to derive products of the word  $x_n$  of X with each of the plurality of words  $y_n$  of Y;
- (d) computing an intermediate result  $R_j$  as a cumulating sum derived from said pre-calculated multiples;
- (e) for each successive word of X, repeating the steps of pre-calculating and computing so as to generate successive intermediate results,  $R_j$ , for each of the first plurality of words  $x_n$ ; and
- (f) providing as output each of the intermediate results  $R_j$  so as to form a final result.

20

2. The method of claim 1 in which X is fragmented into n words of k bits each, according to the expression  $X = x_{n-1}B_x^{n-1} + x_{n-2}B_x^{n-2} + \dots + x_0$ , where  $B_x = 2^k$ .

25

3. The method of claim 1 in which Y is fragmented into n words of m bits each, according to the expression  $Y = y_{n-1}B_y^{n-1} + y_{n-2}B_y^{n-2} + \dots + y_0$ , where  $B_y = 2^m$ .

30

4. The method of claim 1 in which the step of computing an intermediate result  $R_j$  comprises generating a succession of terms  $x.y + c + z$  for addition, comprising the steps of:



- (i) reading a pre-calculated multiple of a word  $x_n$  of  $X$  to form an  $x_n \cdot y_n$  product,
- (ii) adding a carry word  $c_j$  from a previous term;
- (iii) adding a corresponding term,  $z$ , from a previous intermediate result;
- 5 (iv) fragmenting the result into a lower order  $m$ -bit word and a higher order,  $k$ -bit carry word;
- (v) repeating steps (i) to (iv) for each of the  $x_n \cdot y_n$  products; and
- (vi) after use of all  $x_n \cdot y_n$  products, forming a final term by adding the final carry word and corresponding term from the previous intermediate result.

10

5. The method of claim 4 wherein the step of computing the intermediate result is implemented as:

$$R_j = x_{n-j+1}y_0 + (x_{n-j+1}y_1 + r_{j-1,0})B_y + (x_{n-j+1}y_2 + r_{j-1,1})B_y^2 + \dots + (x_{n-j+1}y_{n-1} + r_{j-1,n-2})B_y^{n-1} + r_{j-1,n-1}B_y^n$$

15

6. The method of claim 1 in which step (f) further includes combining all the intermediate results  $R_j$  to form  $R$ , according to the expression

20

$$R = (((x_{n-1}Y \bmod N)B_x + x_{n-2}Y) \bmod N)B_x + \dots x_0Y) \bmod N.$$

25

7. The method of claim 4 in which step (i) comprises the steps of reading selected basic multiples of the word  $x_n$  of  $X$  and combining them to obtain the product  $x_n \cdot y_n$ .

8. The method of claim 7 in which steps (i), (ii) and (iii) include combining the selected basic multiples of the word of  $X$ , the carry word  $c_j$ , and the corresponding term  $z$  in an adder circuit.

30

9. The method of claim 4 in which the corresponding term  $z$  from a previous intermediate result is the immediate less significant word from the previous intermediate result.

5 10. The method of claim 4 in which the corresponding term  $z$  from a previous intermediate result is a  $(k/m)$ th less significant word from the previous intermediate result.

11. The method of claim 1 in which the steps of pre-calculating  
10 comprise the steps of:

calculating pre-selected basic multiples of the word of  $X$  and combining selected ones of the basic multiples to form a desired  $x.y$  product.

12. The method of claim 4 in which the pre-calculation of multiples  
15 of a word of  $X$  takes place during step (vi) for the previous word.

13. Apparatus for performing modular multiplication of integers  $X$  and  $Y$  to produce a result  $R$ , where  $R = X.Y \bmod N$ , comprising:

means for fragmenting  $X$  into a first plurality of words  $x_n$  each having a  
20 first predetermined number of bits,  $k$ ;

means for fragmenting  $Y$  into a second plurality of words  $y_n$  each having a second predetermined number of bits,  $m$ ;

a pre-calculation circuit for pre-calculating multiples of a word  $x_n$  of  $X$  and using said pre-calculated multiples to derive products of the word  $x_n$  of  $X$   
25 with each of the plurality of words  $y_n$  of  $Y$ ;

means for computing an intermediate result  $R_j$  as a cumulating sum derived from said pre-calculated multiples; and

control means for controlling repetition of the pre-calculations and computing of an intermediate result for each successive word of  $X$  so as to  
30 generate successive intermediate results,  $R_j$ , for each of the first plurality of words  $x_n$ .

14. The apparatus of claim 13 in which the means for computing an intermediate result  $R_i$  generates a succession of terms  $x.y + c + z$  for addition, including:

- 5 (i) means for reading a pre-calculated multiple of a word  $x$  of  $X$  to form an  $x.y$  product,
- (ii) means for adding a carry word  $c_i$  from a previous term;
- (iii) means for adding a corresponding term,  $z$ , from a previous intermediate result;
- (iv) means for fragmenting the result into a lower order  $m$ -bit word and a  
10 higher order,  $k$ -bit carry word;
- (v) control means for effecting repetition of the reading of a pre-calculated multiple and addition of the carry word and corresponding term for each of the  $x.y$  products and forming a final term by adding the final carry word and corresponding term from the previous intermediate result.

15

15. A calculation circuit for providing each of a plurality of multiples of an integer  $x$ , to form products  $x.y$ , comprising:

- adder and shift circuits for deriving a plurality of basic multiples of  $x$ ;
- a plurality of registers for storing at least some of said plurality of  
20 basic multiples of  $x$ ;
- a plurality of multiplexers each receiving said basic multiples of  $x$ , each multiplexer having selection lines for receiving selected bits of a selected  $y$  word; and
- a summation circuit for receiving the outputs from each multiplexer  
25 and combining them according to the numeric significance of the portion of the  $y$  word used as input to the respective multiplexer selection line.

16. The calculation circuit of claim 15 in which the plurality of registers correspond to selected odd basic multiples of  $x$ , even basic  
30 multiples of  $x$  being provided to each multiplexer by bit shifting lines coupled to selected ones of the plurality of registers.

17. The calculation circuit of claim 15 in which:

the plurality of multiplexers comprises a set of logic gates each having a first input connected to receive a respective basic multiple of  $x$ , and a selection line to enable assertion of the basic multiple at an output thereof,  
5 and

the summation circuit comprises a series of adders for receiving all asserted outputs of the series of logic gates,

wherein only logic gates in the set of logic gates for which a selection input has changed will be switched during a change in the selected  $y$  word.

10

18. A computer program product, comprising a computer readable medium having thereon computer program code means adapted, when said program is loaded onto a computer, to make the computer execute the procedure of any one of claims 1 to 12.

15

19. A computer program, distributable by electronic data transmission, comprising computer program code means adapted, when said program is loaded onto a computer, to make the computer execute the procedure of any one of claims 1 to 12.

20


20. Apparatus substantially as described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings.

## ABSTRACT

## MULTIPLIER WITH LOOK UP TABLES

5 A method of performing modular multiplication of integers X and Y to produce a result R, where  $R = X.Y \bmod N$ , in a multiplication engine. X is fragmented into a first plurality of words  $x_n$  each having a first predetermined number of bits; k and Y is fragmented into a second plurality of words  $y_n$  each having a second predetermined number of bits, m. Multiples of a word  
10  $x_n$  of X are derived in a pre-calculation circuit and subsequently used to derive products of the word  $x_n$  of X with each of the plurality of words  $y_n$  of Y. An intermediate result  $R_j$  is calculated as a cumulating sum derived from said pre-calculated multiples and the steps repeated for each successive word of X so as to generate successive intermediate results,  $R_j$ , for each of  
15 the first plurality of words  $x_n$ . The final result, R is obtained from the last of the intermediate results  $R_{n-1}$ .

[Figure 1]






Fig 2

3/4






Fig 3



4/4

NEXT  
CALCULATION



NEXT CALCULATION




Fig 4

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**